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Village Transnationalism:
Transborder Identities among Thai-Isan Migrant Workers in Singapore

Pattana Kitiarsa

TRANSNATIONALISM FROM BELOW

Sorachai, 30, a male construction worker from Udon Thani, Northeastern Thailand, spoke on behalf of his fellow workers claiming: “You know what! Thai workers in Singapore all bear very heavy pressure.” With their average daily wage of 23SGD (14USD; 552THB), they have to handle bondage debts, funds which they borrow from relatives or private money-lenders to pay job placement agents in order to secure a job in Singapore’s construction industry, and also remit money to support their families back home. They also have to live...
with the harsh realities of Singapore, where they are often looked down upon as ‘3D-job workers’, with ‘3D’ referring to difficult, dirty, and dangerous jobs in international migration studies. They speak neither English nor Mandarin, and thus are not capable of communicating easily with employers and supervisors. They have to obey them fully as their life totally depends on them.\(^7\) They have no rights and no voice in their workplace even though their work could be very harmful to their health or even their lives.\(^8\)

In this article, I use the ethnography of Thai-Isan migrant workers in Singapore\(^9\) as an entry point to discuss issues concerning transborder identities and transnationalism in Southeast Asian context (see Ananta and Arifin 2004; Parnwell 2005). My discussion will be conceptually framed and guided by the principal assumption that “…what is transnational is embedded in the local” (Szanton Blanc, Basch, and Schiller 1995:684; see also Kearney 1995; Yeoh, Charney, and Kiong 2003) and “people’s lived realities and ideological constructions… transgress, though they do not always subvert, the territorial boundaries of the nation-state” (Duara 1997:1030). Lived stories and tales of experience possessed and endured by a group of male contract workers from Isan in Singapore will be presented as one

6Tony, the owner and operator of major remittance service outlets at the Golden Mile Complex, estimates that an average Thai migrant worker sends money home twice or thrice a month, with each transaction being valued at 312 USD (500 SGD; 12,000 THB) (Interview. May 8, 2005). However, data from my interviews show that most Thai workers remit their earnings back to their families only once a month with an average of 150 USD. Even though they are paid weekly (between 156-187 USD or 250-300 SGD), they prefer to save this and send it as a larger sum of money. Their folks at home consider it a kind of prestige to have a monthly remittance as their family regular income, similar to that of a government official or other office workers (Interview. May 9, 2005).

7Thai workers have a reputation of being diligent, hard-working, and obedient workmen in their normal selves, but they can become real trouble makers when they get drunk. Most Singaporean employers share this stereotypicalized perception on the quality of Thai-Isan workers. Darren, for example, is quoted as saying that “when they are sober, they are the best workers, But when they get drunk, they can be real trouble makers” (cited in Supara Janchitfah 2002).


9My year-long fieldwork among Thai-Isan migrant workers in Singapore began in early 2004, when I came to serve my postdoctoral fellowship at the Asia Research Institute, National University of Singapore. One of the advantages of working and living in Singapore for a sociocultural anthropologist is a fairly accessible opportunity to observe the multinational, dynamic, and diverse workforce in this island’s setting. I am always amazed by the fact that Singapore’s impressive economic growth and overall progress has long been powered largely by skilled and unskilled foreign workers.\(^9\) Since I am a native ethnographer from Isan and have been intensively carrying out research in the region for over a decade, I was naturally attracted by the presence of a large number of Thai-Isan migrant workers, with whom I share common geo-cultural origin and ethnic identity.
of many localized realities in a specific transnational setting, enabling us to rethink the ongoing international labor migration in this part of the world at the turn of the 21st century. These migrant workers tell stories of what Willis, Yeoh, and Fakhri (2004:11) calls “the materiality of how individuals experience them [state/nation/transnation].” I wish to rethink the transnational identities through the lived experiences of these migrant workers and will position them as key subjects in a discussion of the unfortunate, back-breaking agency of ‘transnationalism from below.’

What does it mean to be Thai-Isan construction workers in Singapore? How do they define themselves? How do they actually live and work away from home? How do they react to their socially marginalized status and stereo-typicalized images ascribed to them by their Singaporean hosts? I argue that viewing Thai (and other foreign) migrant workers as part of the Singapore society and economy is partial and insufficient because they have never been fully integrated or assigned a proper place in this rigidly plural and competitive structure of Singapore society. We cannot understand migrant workers’ lives and their temporal communities in Singapore without taking into account “rural based moral community” (Keyes n.d.:36) or “common moral economy” (Keyes 2002:1). The sense of moral economy and community has been persistently redefined and employed as cultural and symbolic capital to form the foundation of Thai-Isan identities at home. It further renders itself as a key resource as well as cultural mold for displaced migrant workers to construct their transborder identities away from home. They have worked and lived here, but they always belong elsewhere as they have maintained strong emotional, moral, cultural, and economic attachments to their places of origin. Thai-Isan construction workers in Singapore, I argue, need to be resituated and reconceptualized within the framework of “village-based transnationalism.”

I propose that term “village transnationalism”10 as an explanatory model as well as an analytical construct to come to terms with lived experience among members of the Thai

---

10 In her book, The Transnational Villagers, Levitt (2001) studies the Dominicans living in Boston while maintaining close connections with family and politics in the Dominican Republic. She explores how ordinary people can continue to keep their feet in two worlds and create communities that span borders. While I share the similar ‘village’ metaphor with her original work, our ‘villages’ are situated in totally different worlds. My focus is on the ethnic Thai-Lao and Buddhist villagers from Northeastern Thailand who have become contracted migrant workers in Singapore. The Thai-Isan workers, as transnational villagers, will never be allowed to settle in Singapore, and so my study is more concerned with how the resources, knowledge, and skills, which they had acquired and brought with them from the Thai countryside, had been turned into limited cultural and symbolic capital and transborder identities during their temporary missions abroad.
migrant workers in Singapore. Hired as semi-skilled contract workers in Singapore’s construction industry (see Porntipa Atipas 2001; Wong 2000), they have remained rural villagers or farmers at heart despite their increasing personal cross-border mobilities (Hugo 2004:29). Their temporary life in transit, social relations, and communities away from home have very little to do with those of their Singaporean hosts. Their everyday life has been modeled or refashioned firmly on transcending identities of traditional and cultural worlds back homes in the Thai countryside.

The social life and transborder identities of migrant workers from Thailand or elsewhere should not be viewed as an extended part of Singapore society and culture. They are part of the migratory flow of temporarily displaced persons in the late capitalist borderless world, which Ong (1999:10-12) describes as “cultural globalization.” They come to “sell their labor” (khai raeng) in this Island Republic, but their home, imagined or real, has never been here. For them, Singapore is their labor marketplace where they come to ‘earn money’ (ha ngoen) to pay off their debt bondage and feed their family.11 Their lifestyle and community networks while working here are modeled upon ‘village transnationalism,’ which is largely consistent with Parnwell’s (2005:21) explanation that “a continuing cultural-socio-psychological or nostalgic attachment to ‘home’ leads to the persistence of living and acting translocally, and holding on to the ‘myth of temporariness’/denying the ‘myth of return,’ in spite of the growing apparent permanence of residence ‘there’.” The difference in the case of contract workers in Singapore is that the return is the truth, not a myth. Due to Singapore’s strict immigration policy and foreign worker employment laws (see Ewing-Chow 2001; Huang and Yeoh 2003:75-97; Wong 1997:135-167),12 every migrant worker must return ‘home’ once his work permit expires.

What I intend to do in this article is to reiterate, on the basis of my case studies of Thai migrant workers in Singapore, that both transnationalism and globalization not only overlap, as Kearney (1995:548) suggests, but also need a localized ground to operate in and also involve actors with particular characteristics and identities. Smith (2001) characterizes this specifically localized ground and subject as ‘transnational optic.’ In the case of Thai contract

11 Jones and Tieng Pardthaisong (1999:32-46) discuss the dominant roles of commercial agencies acting as intermediaries between workers and foreign employers as well as the overall recruitment and job placement processes practiced in Thailand in the mid-1990s.
12 Article 5 (2) of Singapore’s Employment of Foreign Workers Act (Chapter 91A) states that “No foreign worker shall be in the employment of an employer without a valid work permit.”
migrant workers in Singapore, it is true that they “move into and indeed create transnational spaces that may have the potential to liberate nationals within them…” (Ibid.:553) and they have possess multiple identities and are involved in “multi-stranded social relationships” which “illustrate how they are sustained through multiple overlapping familial, economic, social, organizational, religious, and political practices that transcend borders” (Szanton Blanc, Basch, and Schiller 1995:684). However, these multiplicities of transborder identities among transnational migrants are not necessarily or totally ‘new.’ Transborder identities are made possible by appropriating and articulating their cultural and symbolic capital at ‘home’ into their new cultural experience away from home with the aid of borderless telecommunication and transportation technologies.

SINGAPORE AS A THAI MIGRANT DESTINATION

Labor migration from the Thai countryside to Singapore has expanded rapidly since its beginning in the early 1970s. Wong (2000) coined the term “men who built Singapore” to acknowledge Thai migrant workers’ significant contributions to Singapore’s construction industry and overall economy. They have long constituted one of the largest portions in Singapore’s multinational foreign workforce, especially in the construction industry. According to Low (1995), Singapore has always had an open door for skilled and professional workers. However, no unskilled workers were permitted before 1968. In 1970s, Singapore’s policy to import foreign unskilled workers was relaxed for the construction and manufacturing sectors. A wholly Singaporean workforce became unrealistic and foreign labor grew, reflected in 72,590 non-citizen and non-resident (foreign) workers in 1970 and 119,483 in 1980, or 11.5 percent and 11.09 percent of the total workforce respectively. Huang and Yeoh (2003:80, Table 1) show a very steady growth in the percentage of foreign workers within Singapore’s total workforce over the last three decades, beginning from 3.2 and 7.4 percent in 1970 and 1980 to 16.1 and 29.2 percent in 1990 and 2000, respectively. In 2000, Singapore had a record 612,200 foreign workers in its 2,094,800 total workforce. It is estimated that foreign workers will make up more than 60 percent of Singapore workforce in 2020 (see Rahman 2003:81).

Wong (2000:58) shows that Thai workers have reportedly been coming to Singapore since the early 1970s, but statistics made available by the Thai Ministry of Labour and Social Work date only from 1978. Boonruang Chunsuvimol (1980) reports that there were around 500
Thai workers first came to Singapore to work in the electronic and textile companies in Jurong in October 1978. It was the beginning of the rapid expansion of the importation of unskilled and semi-skilled workers from Thailand in the 1980s and 1990s. This was sustained by the construction industry boom in Singapore sparked by the gigantic public housing program undertaken by the Housing and Development Board (HDB). During these times, due to the critical shortage of domestic labor, the government allowed workers from “non-traditional sources” (i.e., Bangladesh, India, Indonesia, Myanmar, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Thailand) to take up employment in the construction sector (Porntipa Atipas 2001:128). By 1981, it was estimated that there was a critical shortage of some 3,000 construction workers daily to work on HDB building sites (Wong 2000:66).

The high demand for menial foreign workers in Singapore’s construction industry in the mid-1980s brought not only documented workers, but also undocumented or illegal workers from Thailand and other non-traditional sources to this Island Republic. The peak period of illegal workers seeking employment was the second half of 1980s. When an amnesty was declared for illegal workers in the country in 1989, almost 10,000 Thais working illegally in the country registered themselves for repatriation, as against 25,000 Thais who were employed with work permits (Wong 2000:58). It was around this time that the Singapore authorities began to systematize and implement a stricter and more effective policy toward the management of its foreign labor affairs. On the one hand, Singapore has recognized and valued the foreign workers’ contribution to the impressive growth of their economy (Wong 2000:63). On the other hand, Singapore needs to find ways to manage their foreign workforce effectively as international labor migration is a delicate, highly dynamic, and complicated issue. Singapore also has to make sure that the degree of its dependency on an imported workforce is not too great to make the whole economy and society risky and vulnerable. Huang and Yeoh (2003:80-81) show three key measures, namely, the work permit system, the dependency ceiling which regulates the proportion of foreign to local workers and the foreign levy, adopted by the Singaporean authorities to manage the unskilled and low-skilled workers as ‘a temporary and controlled phenomenon’ (see Rahman 2003:62-76).

The presence of Thai workers in Singapore had become a major concern in the formulation of Thailand’s labor and foreign relation policies by the late 1980s and early 1990s. It captured the public attention as the media reported cases concerning the ‘sudden unexplained nocturnal death syndrome’ (SUNDS) or fatal sleep syndrome, the poor living conditions, and
Singapore’s strict legal enforcement and harsh treatment of workers by some Singaporean employers. Between May 1982 and July 1994, it is reported that 407 apparently healthy young Thai male workers died in their sleep. Nearly all of them were from Northeastern Thailand (Bangkok Post, September 26, 1994). While Thai authorities, especially the politicians who visited Singapore, cited “poor working and living conditions, poor diet due to low wages and stress [among the workers] as possible causes” (Williams 1990), the Singapore authorities and media drew attention to the mysterious death problem, “suggesting that the deaths resulted from Thais cooking their rice in pipes of polyvinyl chloride or PVC…” (Erlanger 1990) and “denied that contract workers are badly treated by employers” (Williams 1990). In 1989, Thai-Singapore relations were severely tested when 10,000 Thais were evacuated from the island republic before a law took effect that allowed illegal immigrants to be punished by caning (Reuters News, April 18, 1990).

Cases involving worksite accidents, crimes, and other illegal activities (i.e., drugs and prostitution) among Thai workers in Singapore were regularly reported in the local Singapore and Thai media throughout the 1990s and early 2000s. Some reported cases included the execution of three workers involved in a homicide case in 1996, the widespread use of drugs in 2002, and illegal immigrants and prostitutes selling sex in a forest hideout in Woodlands and Lim Chu Kang in 2003. In March 1996, Thai migrant workers Prawit Yaowbutr, Manit Wangjaisuk, Panya Marmontree, Prasong Bunsom and Panya Amphawa were hanged at dawn after having been convicted of the murder of two Indian workers in September 1993 and a Burmese worker in November 1992. Singapore police stated that the men were part of a gang that had staged robberies at a series of work-sites. Appeals for clemency by Thai non-governmental groups and representations by Thai officials failed to halt the executions. In March 2002, a Thai worker in Singapore wrote to Thai Rath noting that Yaa Baa (amphetamine) use was very widespread among Thai laborers. He noted that Singaporean officials paid no attention to this because their citizens do not use the drug, and that only Thai laborers themselves sell, buy, take the drug and kill each other (Thai Rath, March 1, 2002). In September 2003, Singapore police arrested 39 Thai men, 22 Thai women, and 5 Malaysian men camping in makeshift huts in a forested area near Woodlands Industrial Park. These people were suspected of being involved in ‘selling sex’ and other illegal activities (The Straits Times, September 25, 2003). The latest statistics obtained from the Royal Thai

Embassy in Singapore indicate that from 1997 to 2004 a total of 435 death certificates (*bai morana bat*) were issued. In other words, an average of 54 Thais (almost all of them migrant workers) were officially reported as having died every year in Singapore over the last 8 years. The major causes of death were heart failure, worksite accident, illness, murder, and suicide (Royal Thai Embassy in Singapore 2005).

The accurate number of Thai migrant workers in Singapore has long been problematic. Both major studies on the subject by Porntipa Atipas (2001) and Wong (2000) admit that numbers of both illegal and legal Thai migrant workers in this country are estimated figures “due to the lack of official data” (Porntipa Atipas 2001:130) and, the fact that “no exact figures on the size and composition of the foreign labour workforce in Singapore are released by the Ministry of Labour” (Wong 2000:58). My interview with one of the labor counsellors at the Office of Labor Affairs of the Royal Thai Embassy in Singapore also confirms that no-one knows the accurate number of Thai workers, since Singapore has never disclosed this data and only a small portion of Thai workers report themselves to the Office upon their arrival. The statistics reported by Thailand’s Ministry of Labor Affairs is also too broad to establish the actual number of workers present in workplaces in Singapore.14

As indicated by Porntipa Atipas (2001) and Wong (2000), the number of Thai workers in Singapore has increased steadily from the late 1980s until the present. Indeed, Singapore has maintained “the highest degree of continuity in employing Thai labourers during the last decade…, where legal employment figures rose from 25,000 in 1988 to 50,000 in 1994 and 60,000 by the end of 1997. Thai workers represented one-sixth of the total foreign workforce in 1995 (Supang Chantavanich and Andreashausen 2000:2). A recent report by the Office of Labor Affairs in Singapore (2004) indicates that the total numbers of Thai workers in the 2000s seems to be stable at around 45,000-50,000, as the arrival of newly-recruited workers has very significantly slowed down. Singapore’s Ministry of Manpower has maintained tight regulations since the mid-1990s requiring that foreign workers pass a standardized skills test. The worker has also had to pay a higher job placement fee to private agencies (between 80,000-100,000 Baht). In addition, Singaporean employers now tend to look for workers from cheaper sources such as Bangladesh, India, and Myanmar to replace the Thais, who

14Interview with a Thai Labor Councilor, January 14, 2005.
receive a higher wage as trained and semi-skilled workers. These are the main reasons preventing more Thai workers from coming to Singapore.

THE ‘GOLDEN MILE’ STORY: DISPLACED MIGRANT VILLAGERS AND THEIR SHOPPING MALL

The foremost popular center for Thai workers in Singapore is the notoriously well-known place called the “Golden Mile Complex” or simply either “Golden” or “Golden Mile” as the Thai workers refer to it among their compatriots. This place is also known among Thai workers as “Golden Mao,” literally the golden place [and time], where workers could go out on a drinking spree and get drunk. The picture of Thai-Isan workers gathering in small groups to talk, drink and have fun while lady vendors approach them to sell some snacks are quite commonly found both inside and outside this commercial complex. The peak of the Thai worker crowd is during the weekend, especially the first and third weekends of the month, which are the pay days for most Singaporean companies and construction contractors.

The common scene of the busy Golden Mile could be captured through these typical journalistic descriptions (see also Chia 2002/2003).

On Sundays, as many as 5,000 Thais may visit the complex as it has money services open seven days a week, enabling them to send cheques home. The complex is also the terminal for buses linking Hat Yai and Singapore. Many locals and foreigners consider the complex dangerous—full of thieves, brawlers, and killers, and keep away. They see it as dirty, with Thai workers sitting on the floors and footpaths while they drink (Wuth Nontarit 1996)

\[15\] For years, foreign workers of different national origins have maintained their own popular spots for social gatherings during their off-duty days in Singapore. The Indians and Bangladeshis have their favorite spot in Little India, the Filipina domestic workers have occupied Lucky Plaza and a nearby park on Orchard Road, the Indonesians visit City Plaza near Kan Tong, and the Thai have gathered at the Golden Mile Complex on Beach Roach. To me these meeting spots seem to be somewhat designed and controlled ethnocultural sanctuaries or enclave zones, while Singapore’s strict legal, economic, and cultural regulations have consistently denied or ruled out the possibility of integrating or assimilating foreign workers into its geopolitical and cultural bodies. Unskilled contract workers of foreign origins have been dealt with in “an economically efficient manner” (cited in Wong 2000:64). In other words, they are retained and guarded against as outcast others, whose labor on the 3D jobs is valued insofar as it helps fuel economic growth and helps maintain the host society’s social well-being with a high quality of life.
The Thai crowd was right in front of one of the biggest supermarkets in the complex. Some hummed Thai tunes, others were drinking Thai beer. Some had plastic bags of bamboo shoots or raw papaya. Many of them read Thai newspapers. Just around the street corner, some Thai men were drinking cool Thai beer. A Singaporean policemen kept an eye on them (Supara Janchitfah. 2002).16

In the eyes of both Thai and Singapore officials, the media, and the general public, this three-storey shopping mall on the Beach Roach is the ‘3D place for 3D workers.’ Its media representations are rather ambiguous and rather negative. Its identities as a social space have been attached to male workers’ drinking sprees and other illegal activities. Singaporeans remind their relatives and friends that this is a place to avoid. Thai workers are widely regarded as diligent, hard-working, and obedient people in their normal selves, but they can become real trouble-makers when they get drunk.17 Most Singaporean employers share this stereo-typicalized perception on the quality of Thai-Isan workers. Darren, for example, is quoted as saying that “when they are sober, they are the best workers, But when they get drunk, they can be real trouble makers” (cited in Supara Janchitfah 2002).

16To describe the Golden Mile in a rather negative manner is a way of stigmatizing the place. To be fair, it is far from being a lawless mall. Apart from frequent inspections by the local police, the Golden Mile has established its own regulations. During its peak hours, usually on Sunday afternoon, the Golden Mile management has repeatedly played the following recorded message both in Thai and English through its public address system. “Welcome to all our customers and visitors to the Golden Mile. Please listen to this announcement for which we seek your cooperation. First, do not smoke in the Golden Mile Complex because it is an air-conditioned area. In case of an offense, a fine of 1,000 SGD will be imposed. Second, do not sit on or litter the floor. Please drop rubbish in the bin. Third, do not urinate on the stairs, at the building’s corners, or in the parking lots. Please use the public toilet. Fourth, do not disturb or bother other people. Do not quarrel or fight in the Golden Mile area. And do not drink too much as you will lose control of yourself. With best wishes from the Golden Mile management. Thank you” (Fieldnote. January 15, 2005). Here I translate and quote the Thai-language version of this public announcement. It provides a specific and extensive message aiming at keeping order and disciplining the crowd of Thai workers/customers, who have apparently been indifferent to the message. Its English version is rather brief and less persuasive. It does not involve a sense of serious warning or threat as it says “Do not smoke. Do not litter. Thank you and happy shopping at the Golden Mile” (Fieldnote. January 15, 2005). As the workers do not speak English, the English-language address is intended for English-speaking Singaporean and other international customers and visitors, who are more cooperative and well-behaved. Altogether these messages suggest that Thai workers are trouble-making customers. Nonetheless, for business reasons, their wild and unsophisticated behavior can be tolerated. This reflects very well the Singapore government’s and overall society’s attitude toward Thai and other foreign workers.

17Interview with Dr. Thakur Phanit, Thailand’s Ambassador to Singapore, the Royal Thai Embassy, September 4, 2004.
The Golden Mile Complex as a public space was embedded in Singapore’s urban development project in the early 1970s. The Complex as it stands today was part of the failed urban renewal program called the Golden Mile district. According to Chia (2002/2003:21-23), the Golden Mile district program derived from the government’s intention to transform the area from a slum into a first-class commercial, residential, and hotel district, but it failed miserably due to poor accessibility and competition from developments in the vicinity. The Golden Mile Complex was built in 1972 and was originally known as the Wah Hup Complex. It was designed as a mixed-use complex consisting of shops, office units, and residential flats. The business performance of the shops in the Golden Mile Complex was poor and it became ‘like a ghost town’ in the early 1970s. However, it gradually revived as Thai workers began to arrive and businesses associated with the Thais flourished in the early 1980s, a period referred to by Chia (2002/2003:22) as “the birth of Little Thailand in Singapore.”

The physical setting of the Golden Mile is not fixed nor limited only to the three-storey building space. Its boundaries are rather complex, expansive, and flexible particularly during the peak of its business on the weekend. As Thai workers, lady vendors, cross-border tourists and travelers, and visitors arrive in the area, they gradually occupy and make use of most of the available spaces within the complex and its surrounding areas. Footpaths and some small vacant spaces in front of the Golden Mile are used as meeting points for passengers. Most of the corridors on the ground floor and the parking lot are occupied by workers for drinking and other leisure activities. A large number of workers also used the spaces provided by the stalls and other available grounds under the HBD flats18 opposite to the Golden Mile for entertaining activities. Many of them go to the Kallang Riverside Park at the intersection between Beach Road and the Highway and use that area as an alternative leisure space. They sit or squat to enjoy their drinks, snacks, and food with friends after their long week on construction sites and factories across the Island. It is not unusual to witness workers who have passed out, lying unconscious on the footpaths or under the HDB flats after long hours of heavy drinking or fighting with other fellow workers.

18 HDB stands for the Housing and Development Board, a Singapore’s government agency which is in-charge of planning and running the country’s residential flats and housing units.
Most Thai workers have rather contrasting, but balanced views regarding the existence of the Golden Mile as part of their labor migration map. They view the Golden Mile in both positive and negative ways. In his diary, Anuchit, 31, a veteran worker from Udon Thani, wrote about the positive aspect of the place, “the Golden Mile is the meeting point for the Thais. Friend, relatives, and people coming from the same village meet here. They also come to have fun on their free time. The Golden Mile has offered all kinds of entertainment such as Karaoke bars, discotheques, and snooker clubs. People usually visit the place during the end of the month or on their pay day. Some people come to remit money home, other just come to spend on things they wish to.”

On the negative side, Thai workers are aware of, and some even strongly express their displeasure and protest against, the mayhem and noisy drinking scenes in and around the Golden Mile Complex on the weekends. Anuchit wrote in the same diary that “there are both good and bad people coming to the Golden Mile. There are illegal migrants, both male and female, looking for jobs. They go underground hiding with friends. Some occasionally visit the Golden Mile, some illegally hang out and camp in the forests. This is the reason why the police come to inspect the place frequently. The Golden Mile is also the place of sin. Many workers get lost amid some tempting seductions like girls, drugs, and drink. Some people get killed in fights after heavy drinking. Many forget that they have their families back home under their responsibility. It is heart-breaking for their parents, wives, and children.”

---

19Thai Workers’ Diary #07, January 21, 2005.
20Thai Workers’ Diary #07, January 21, 2005.
21Thai Workers’ Diary #01, January 28, 2005.
no matter what.”22 “Even if its location is remote or far away from my construction site, I will always try to be there.”23

The Golden Mile on the weekend resembles the festive atmosphere in Isan’s rural villages. Mostly middle and advanced aged men, gathering together to have a meal and a drink over fun-making conversation in corners of the buildings or in the parking lots and footpaths, are scenes common to household ceremonies or village festivals (ngan bun), especially those during the post-harvest time when villagers might find themselves with the luxury of time to celebrate and enjoy themselves after heavy work in the fields. Noise, drinking spree, enjoying abundant meals together, urinating or spitting in public, or even having a fight are all considered normal behavior in village festivals. They are a way of life in village festivals. However, they are also considered ‘out of place,’ and socially unacceptable. They are categorized as anti-social behavior. Many of these activities border on being illegal according to Singapore’s legal perspective and the public social norms. Many expatriate Thais, who are educated, professionals and middle-class or elite working in Singapore, also see the place as degrading, dirty and exclusively for working-class people. Perhaps, some of the outrageous anti-social behavior during the festive weekends at the Golden Mile could be interpreted as a “slap-in-the-face” expression or a protest against the strictly regulated nature of Singapore everyday life, as well as a way to reflexively apprehend their own marginalized life and hardship.

The Golden Mile and Thai-Isan migrant workers should be viewed within and from their own existing contexts. As transnational contract workers, they have found themselves caught up in an extraordinarily complex situation. They live their ‘labor-selling life’ (chiwit khon khai raeng) as temporarily physically displaced and culturally uprooted persons. They have become men without families or village communities in a rather sudden and cruel manner over a sustained period of time. They are young men away from parental and customary influence, husbands separated from wives, fathers far away from their children, and good community members leaving behind relatives and neighbors. Many of them are frustrated with unfriendly and discriminatory gestures from their Singaporean employers and people

22Thai Workers’ Diary #12, January 28, 2005.
23Interview, July 25, 2004. In his diary, Naruchai, 32, wrote that “I am glad that we have the center for my fellow workers from Thailand at the Golden Mile. It is a place for us, no matter how far away is it located; I will try my best to be there. Most of my friends meet there every week. If we renamed it as “the Thai Town,” it would be cool!” (Thai Workers’ Diary, January 16, 2005).
they meet on their worksites and during everyday life. In other words, they have become mentally, culturally and socioeconomically handicapped strangers in an unfamiliar workplace and society. What the Singapore public has witnessed over the weekends at the Golden Mile is in part the Thai workers’ attempts to keep in touch and reinforce their nostalgic sense of Isan village-style ‘home’ and public festival. They unconsciously wish to make the Golden Mile their ‘home’ and ‘community’ in their own impossible way, given Singapore’s strict law enforcement and the public attitude toward foreign workers.

The Golden Mile has offered Thai workers not only a ‘mirrored sense’ of home and community, but also a ‘real, touchable’ physical communality in transit. It is perhaps the only place in Singapore where Thai workers can come together and make a ‘village’ out of their virtual social networks, which, during weekdays, are minimal but maintained through face-to-face contact and via popular mobile phones. A large number of Thai workers possess mobile phones and wireless technology has made it possible for them to keep alive their placeless social network and community through instant live chat and SMS messages. It is indeed a ‘contact zone’ for the Thai migrant workers in this ethnoculturally pluralized Island Republic, where groups of foreign workers need their marked home away from home’s social and physical space. As this shopping mall’s spatial identities are marked by Thai-style fresh markets, a village festival atmosphere and a miniature of the famous Erawan shrine in Bangkok for spiritual demarcation, it should be understandable why Thai-Isan workers are

---

24Son, 24, a young worker from Sakhon Nakhon told me a story when he was arrested by the police when he and his friends walked in a neighborhood on their way back to the foreign workers’ dormitory because one of the residents suspected them and called the police. They were fortunate since they had their work permits with them (Interview, January 16, 2004). Many workers I interviewed during their visit to the Kallang Riverside Park complained that some residents threw water bottles or yelled at them when they walked in the residential housing, even though they had permission and followed proper procedures (Interview, November 21, 2004). Prasit, 33, and Thosaporn, 41, both veteran migrant workers who used to work in Taiwan and Brunei before coming to Singapore, commented that Singaporeans are generally not generous and helpful (mai mi nam chai). They claim that the Singaporeans come from different ethnic, linguistic, and cultural backgrounds and are rather individualistic, and that the job placement agents always take advantages of the foreign workers. Taiwanese employers are much more generous and pay respect to their foreign employees (Interview, February 8, 2004).

25Dr. Eric Thompson (Department of Sociology, NUS) (personal communication, January 2005) brought to my attention that the mobile phone, which is extremely popular among male and female foreign workers in Singapore, is used to offset their limited physical mobility as they are usually confined to their construction sites, employers’ homes, and foreign workers’ dormitories in their everyday life. Thanks to its affordable price, the mobile phone allows foreign workers to maintain their social networks both in Singapore and with their home countries. Culturally and physically handicapped as they do not speak English (except workers from countries like the Philippines and India) and living and working away from their friends, lovers, families, or relatives, the mobile phone is not only a wireless technology-cum-fashion, but also has multiple psycho-social, economic, and cultural functions. More importantly, it empowers the migrant workers to speak or communicate in their own language. Thus, at times they can feel a sense of power and control over their life despite this being a short sensation and achieved at some expense.
easily stimulated and fling themselves deep into their rare joyful mood away from their menial hardship on the construction sites throughout the Island of Singapore.

**PHA PA RAENG NGAN: TRANSBORDER BUDDHIST MERIT-MAKING ENDEAVORS**

“*Pha pa raeng ngan*” is perhaps the most important Buddhist merit-making activity organized by Thai workers in Singapore. For some reason, this activity has been overlooked as it has never been mentioned in any existing studies on Thai workers in Singapore, even the most comprehensive ones like Porntipa Atipas (2001) and Wong (2000). At the outset, it may be viewed as a simple and familiar fund-raising project initiated by groups of Isan male workers. However, after a series of interviews and participant observation in the organization of the *pha pa*, I realized that this collective effort could be counted as a form of culturally/religiously organized social remittances, through which Thai migrant workers have maintained their connections with ‘home’ while they are absent away on their labor-selling missions abroad. It shows their moral obligation to ‘give back’ to their home, where they always belong. They send an amount of money back home to help their communities build Buddhist temple halls, school buildings, asphalted roads, and other public utility projects. The exact amount of money remitted through *pha pa* fund raising projects is still unknown as no-one has ever kept a record, but a number of workers and experienced organizers of this annual merit-making activity told me that it could be as much as millions of Thai Baht per year. One of my informants estimated that there are at least 250 *pha pa* projects (*kong*) per annum. An average project is usually reported to raise around 2,000SGD (1,216USD; 48,000THB). It means each year the *pha pa* remittance could pump as much as 500,000SGD (304,068USD; 12,006,345THB) of foreign currency directly into the Thai rural economy.

The *pha pa* project is organized through personal leadership and social networks not only between a group of migrant workers and their original home villages, but also through strong social reciprocal relationships and network organizations among workers in different worksites throughout the Island. Each year there are a large number of *pha pa* fundraising

---

26 In 2004, one Singapore Dollar was approximately equivalent to 24 Thai Baht; one US Dollar was 39 Thai Baht.

27 Fieldnote, January 16, 2005. I use the XE.com Currency Convert available at [http://www.xe.com](http://www.xe.com). As of February 2005, one Singapore Dollar was equivalent to 0.6 US Dollars or 24 Thailand Baht.
projects among Thai workers. The gathering to collect and remit donated money has taken place every week around the Golden Mile and its nearby meeting spots. The peak of this seasonal activity is seen around January and April. January and February are highlighted by the International New Year and Chinese New Year holidays. These are the times when most workers are on their long holidays with some of them receiving ang pow money from their employers. March and April are particularly auspicious times for Buddhist merit-making and festivals in Isan, where most temple fairs and village festivals are organized around the Songkran Festival (April 13-15) to celebrate the Tai/Thai traditional new year.²⁸

The pha pa is a joint-effort between leaders at the village host in Isan and their fellow villagers/workers abroad. In most Thai Buddhist villages, it is an annual event and held as one of grand Buddhist merit-making or fundraising projects to mobilize money and resources for specific civic development projects. In this event, money, labor, and other material resources are sought from virtually every reliable source both inside and outside of their village boundaries. Members of the villages by birth, residential, or other means of social membership, are requested to make a donation in the name of making merit together for ‘our village’ (tham bun huam kan/het bun nam kan). In the past few decades, young migrant workers from the village and their social networks at their workplaces in Bangkok and overseas (i.e., Brunei, Israel, Japan, Middle East, Singapore, Taiwan) are viewed as very significant contributors to the village pha pa fundraising activity.²⁹

Not every worker is capable of putting together a pha pa project. As a cultural and economic capital mobilization endeavor at the community level, it requires leadership and organization. Chai, 38, a veteran worker in Singapore and pha pa organizer from Udon Thani, insists that the leader and his committee members are very important. They need to have strong and reliable networks of fellow workers, whose construction sites are located in different places throughout the Island. They need to have accumulated sufficient degrees of trust and charisma (barami), which is perceived through one’s past records of contributing or helping other previous pha pa projects organized by their fellow workers. A successful leader of a pha pa project, usually called the president (prathan), usually has a personal reputation as being fair, sportsman-like and a giver and taker. He must also have strong reciprocal

²⁸Interview, March 6, 2005.
²⁹Interview, March 6, 2005.
relationships with a large number of friends (*pen phu kwang kwang*). In other words, he needs to have excellent skills of social reciprocity. He also needs to have enough support and cooperation from his fellow committee members in order to carry out different tasks such as, distributing and collecting the *pha pa* envelopes (*song pha pa*), preparing and organizing food and drink for the gathering to ‘open *pha pa* envelopes’ (*poet song pha pa*), and remit the donated money home.\(^{30}\)

Every *pha pa* project needs a village host and the proposal begins from there. In most cases, the leaders of the hosting village such as the village headman, school teacher, local police officer or member of the Subdistrict Administration Organization (*ongkan borihan suan tambon—oo bo to*) originally initiate the plan of this annual fundraising activity among their fellow villagers. The outside potential donors including overseas migrant workers are invited or requested to contribute as an extended social network to raise more donations. Most *pha pa* activities in Singapore belong to this category. Charoen and his fellow workers from Udon Thani were requested by the local police to contribute money to a local road construction project. Nak and his friends were invited to make a donation for a *pha pa* activity in Nakhon Phanom to build a library in the village school. Vira and his friends from the same village and their neighbors organized their *pha pa* to help their village erect a temple preaching hall in Nong Khai.\(^{31}\) However, there are also cases in which *pha pa* activity has been proposed by the migrant workers themselves as they are willing to contribute to their home village. They volunteer to gather donations from fellow workers in Singapore to contribute to the ongoing merit-making activity at home. The form whereby the proposal comes from the migrant workers’ side is more frequently seen among those who work inside the country. Most overseas *pha pa* projects, as I observed in Singapore, fit into the category of invited or requested contribution to the ongoing merit-making activity in a particular host village.

The *pha pa* proposal reaches its overseas donors in the form of a bunch of *pha pa* envelopes, which are widely-known among Thai-Isan workers as *song* or *song pha pa*. Printed on its front surface is the merit making event’s details indicating what, where, why, and when the *pha pa* is to be held. It also provides blank spaces for the donor/merit maker to fill in their name, address, and amount of donated money. Inside the envelope is a letter repeating the

\(^{30}\) Interview, February 20, 2005.

\(^{31}\) Fieldnote, February 13, 2005.
aforementioned details as well as list of organizing committee members from both the hosting village and the overseas workers. Man, 45, a veteran worker and frequent pha pa donor from Buriram, explains that since most of the listed names are known as authentic and respected persons, the letter is proof to ensure the donor of the pha pa’s auspiciously creditable intention, and that it is not a fraud. The title of a pha pa project is written in a way to honor the contribution from workers in Singapore in their joint merit making efforts, for example, “You are cordially invited to host the Yasothon-Singapore Pha Pa Project to help with funding the construction of the temple’s fence at Ban Na-ngam, Tambon Sompho, Amphur Thai Charoen, Changwat Yasothon, dated on Thursday March 1st, 2005.” Or “You are cordially invited to host the Singapore-Nakhon Phanom Pha Pa for Education Project at Ban Dong Khwang Village School, Tambon Saen Phan, Amphur That Phanom, Changwat Nakhon Phanom, dated on Saturday January 8, 2005.” These messages are commonly printed on every pha pa project’s envelope, pamphlet, and advertisement banner. The intention is to provide necessary information and provide the donor with proof of authenticity and originality, as cases of merit-making fraud are frequently reported in the Thai media.

Although it varies from case to case, most pha pa projects among Thai workers in Singapore have prepared between 800 and 2,000 envelopes. The pha pa organizer has roughly calculated the return of around 70-80 per cent of the distributed envelopes. While most non-committee and general workers tend to donate around 1-2 SGD (0.6-1.2USD; 48THB) per envelope, the committee members, especially the president and vice president who are members of the hosting village, are expected to contribute up to several hundred Singapore dollars. Panya, one of a recent presidents of a pha pa from Udonthani spent 500SGD (304USD; 12,000THB) with almost half of this amount being allocated to organizing expenses such as food, drink, and other allowances. He donated 300SGD (182USD; 7,200THB) to the pha pa fund for his village temple. It was my observation during the gathering that most pha pa envelopes rarely contained more than 10SGD (6USD; 240THB), except those offered by organizing committee members. The largest proportion of envelopes

33 Most ordinary Thai-Isan construction workers earn 23 SGD per day. They work at least 6 days a week. Even including their over-time earnings (ranging between 3-5 SGD per hour), most of them earn less than 700 SGD per month. Some veteran and skilled workers (i.e., crane operators, electricians, carpenters, etc) usually earn up to 1,000 SGD per month. Therefore, if one makes a donation of 500 SGD, he is considered very generous. It means he donates more than 50 per cent of his monthly earning to the pha pa merit making. He thus could not remit his money to his family that month.
34 Interview, February 20, 2005.
contained a 2-SGD banknote. Many were sealed and contained coins. A number of the Thai workers revealed that, since their salary is limited and they need to remit their earning to support their families or pay off their debt bondage, they often feel there are too many pha pa envelopes coming to them throughout the year. Although they are willing to help out friends/organizers by making merit with them, they cannot afford to spend a large portion of their precious earnings. This is the major reason why the majority of them usually donate one or two dollars in each pha pa envelope. Pornchai, 42, a veteran worker from Kalasin, told me that each year he has received between 15-20 pha pa envelopes and spent up to 50SGD (30USD; 1,200THB) on this activity. Although making merit is a voluntary activity and depends on the individual’s decision, most Buddhist Thais have a strong moral obligation to contribute what they can, especially when they are requested to do so.35

The most important stage of pha pa organization is the quasi-ceremonial gathering called poet song, literally opening of the envelopes. It is a time when organizers and friends from different worksites agree to bring together the pha pa envelopes, which they had distributed earlier though the connection of their fellow villagers and friends known as sai (a key person with a chained social connection). They normally choose to get together to open the pha pa envelopes at their favorite place at the Golden Mile Complex, the HDB flats near Golden Mile, or Kallang Riverside Park on Sunday afternoons or holidays. Key members in the organizing committee play the roles of the pha pa’s host (chao phap), adopting the same functioning in the genuine pha pa at other ceremonies back home in Isan. The hosts have to prepare food, drink, and snacks as if it were a real feast. Reed mattresses are spread on the ground under the tree-sheds in the Park or on the cement floor inside the building as the meeting spot, where around 20-30 pha pa donors and their friends come to witness the sorting and collecting of the final donation.

In most circumstances, this quasi-ceremony starts with donors, guests, and hosts having a meal together. Eating, drinking, and enjoying social greetings and fun are the most fundamental aspects of the village festivals in Isan and elsewhere. The hosts prepare a variety of food, but the main dishes are traditional Isan-style cooked food, including glutinous rice, papaya salad (som tam), grilled chicken (kai yai), and cooked or raw beef/pork with Isan-style ingredients (lap or koi). Drinks, especially rice whisky and beer, are bought from the

35Interview, March 6, 2005.
store inside the Golden Mile or from the lady vendors (who are also mostly from Isan), on the spot. In some cases, the host does not have time to cook as most construction workers rarely have time off from their tight schedule and demanding employers (*thao kae*). They buy cooked food and vegetables from the Golden Mile stores. When everybody is well-fed, the president of the *pha pa* activity calls for the attention of those gathered, in order to proceed with the actual tearing open of the envelopes and counting the donated money. It is time for all *pha pa* participants to join and witness the counting of monies towards a particular *pha pa* project and learn the total of their overall collective effort. In most of the events I attended, the envelopes contained both Thai and Singapore currency with the larger contribution being in Singapore dollars. Whilst committee members were busy counting and sorting the money which came in various sizes, shapes, coins and banknotes, the rest of the participants stayed on to give moral support and witness that the donations were handled in a proper and transparent manner.³⁶

An additional step to raise more money from fellow workers and donors attending this quasi-ceremony is called “*to yot*” (literally, to extend or top up the donated money they have already collected from the envelopes). When the collected amount of donations is finalized, the president, who also acts as the master of ceremonies, announces before the gathering the exact total of the donations and asks whether they agree that it is an appropriate amount to be remitted to their folks back home or if anybody wants to make an additional top-up donation. It is another way to call for a donation on the spot, where the name of the donor and amount of his donation are publicly acknowledged, usually accompanied with a compliment and cheer from fellow workers. Some claim that they need the final amount of the donation to end with the number 9 (i.e., 799, 899, 999, or 1999 SGD), which is considered an auspicious number in Thai popular belief because it is pronounced with the sound (*kao*) which indicates growth and progress. In some cases, the topping up session encourages more donations from both committee members and fellow worker participants and it usually ends up raising a couple of hundred additional dollars.³⁷

---

³⁶ Fieldnote, February 9, 2005.
³⁷ Fieldnote, February 9 and March 6, 2005.
Many worker-donors agree that ‘to yot’ is the most interesting and entertaining part of the pha pa gathering. It allows people in their social cycle to step up, display their heart of gold, and make their extra donation in front of their fellow workers. In other words, the to yot demonstrates the individual’s ‘face’ and ‘honor’ beyond an ordinary contribution, and this allows people in the gathering to recognize and remember his act of special merit making it a social investment. When the quasi-ceremony finally comes to an end with no further donation, a group of organizing committee members brings the donated money to the remittance service located inside the Golden Mile Complex to send home to complement the ongoing pha pa event there. They usually remit the donation to the bank account of the village headman, the abbot, the school teacher, or a reliable villager, who, in turn, will bring the donation to the local pha pa organizing committee. To ensure that the people’s donated money is handled properly and used totally for legitimate purposes, a group of key persons in the committee are present during the money remittance transaction.

The pha pa project among Thai male workers in Singapore is an exercise of male networks with an aim of renewing and reinforcing masculine reciprocal prestige and strengthening their social and religious commitment to their ‘home.’ It is an additional activity to their prime role as ‘breadwinners’ for their immediate families. Their contribution to a pha pa project is mainly organized among men who come from the same or neighboring villages of origin, both confirms and reinforces their moral and social obligation to provide some needed funds for public development projects at the village level. The pha pa project also helps overseas workers, who have been absent from their villages over a sustained period during their international labor migration, to renew their Buddhist faith through merit-making as they rarely go to the Buddhist temple while working in Singapore (Wong 2000:105). This activity allows them to maintain their social relationships among male friends working on different worksites in Singapore besides their normal contacts via mobile phone or social meetings at the Golden Mile Complex.

LABOUR AND FOOTBALL

Football (soccer) is one of the ultimate outdoor activities for young Isan construction workers, played in the late afternoon during hours off from their regular menial jobs and on the weekends. It is not only the most popular sport in Thailand and the Southeast Asian region
more generally, but it also displays characteristics which suit very well the excessively masculine community of Isan male workers in Singapore. For them, football is their masculine passion and pride. It represents an identity marker of their working-class lifestyle and offers a cultural mode by which men from different villages and provinces throughout Isan and Thailand deal with one another. In other words, football is a true masculine game in which Isan male workers play to define and redefine their masculine selves outside their extremely physically demanding jobs and socially suppressed and marginalized social milieu.

Thai Isan workers in Singapore bring with them not only their work skills, but also their multi-sport talents and skills, which they have acquired in their village school and daily village sporting events. The workers’ sport talents include football, volleyball, sepak takraw, and of course Thai kick boxing (muai Thai). They regularly play these sports for exercise and to pass time on their construction sites or at the neighborhood sport facilities. On the weekends, some of them manage to practice their football skills with fellow foreign workers from Indonesia, Malaysia, Myanmar or with their Thai counterparts from different companies or worksites. Once or twice a year on the annual celebration of May Day and some other holidays, they eagerly participate in most sport events organized by the Office of Thai Labour Affairs, the Royal Thai Embassy. The event, which I will discuss in detail, is the football tournament known among Thai workers in Singapore as the “Thai Labour Cup.” It is the only major sport event among the community of Thai workers and has been organized for four years running.

38Thailand is currently the king of Southeast Asian football despite some reported poor management, corruption, and power struggles in running the Football Association of Thailand (FAT). Thailand has won six consecutive gold medals at the bi-annual Southeast Asian Games since 1993. Its national team also won three out of five Tiger Cups, which is billed as the official Southeast Asian championship, in 1996, 2000, and 2002. Some leading Thai football players came to play professional football in Singapore’s professional football league in the 1990s and this continues to the present. It should be noted that football, due to its overwhelming popularity at the grass-roots level, has become a major rival to Thai and international-style boxing as a political extravaganza for politicians, military big men, and bureaucrats to gain or appeal for popular support. The government under the leadership of Thaksin Shinawatra has consistently exploited the country’s passion to this sport to achieve political gains in the past four years with heavily-publicized projects like a failed proposal to buy partial shares in some famous English Premier League Football Clubs, the street soccer tournaments, or even a VIP League among politicians and officials working in different ministries and departments.

39The original idea to organize an official competitive football tournament among workers in Singapore likely reflected the populist politics of the Thaksin Shinawatra’s regime. In the name of health and well-being promotion, popular team sports such as football and mass-participant aerobic dance have been organized nationwide. The Ministry of Labour Affairs has initiated the popular “Labour Cup” among workers from different factories and corporations in Bangkok and its suburbs since 2001.
The “4th Thai Football Labour Cup,” like any public event, requires considerable effort and input from both the organizing committee under the guidance of the Head of the Office of Labour Affairs (Mr. Somthawee Kopatthanasin) and the staff of FTWA as well as from the participating teams. On the side of the organizing committee, key members sat in a meeting at the FTWA office at the Golden Mile in late October 2004, just one month prior to the event. They did not require much in the way of public relations, since most of team managers/leaders from different construction sites and companies were familiar with one another and most were already looking forward to the football tournament. The organizing committee agreed to have a total of a 14 team-event due to the limitation of time and budget for the rental of the football pitches and referees’ wages. They needed to hire a team of professional referees registered with the Football Association of Singapore (FAS) to supervise the game after a series of verbal complaints from participating teams in the previous competitions. Each team was required to pay 200SGD (120USD; 4,800THB) for the application fee and 100SGD (60USD; 2,400THB) as a refundable deposit to guarantee the team’s participation throughout the tournament. The winners would walk away with 800SGD (486USD; 19,202THB) -prize money plus a trophy, whereas the runners up and the third-place finalists would win 500SGD (304USD; 12,000THB) and 300SGD (182USD; 7,200THB), respectively. However, the tournament gained overwhelming attention from the community of Thai-workers and the entries jumped to 21 teams. The organizing committee had to adjust the plan to accommodate the worker-players’ enthusiasm. They ended up handling a total of 53 matches and spent more than 12,000SGD (7,300USD; 288,123THB) for the pitch rentals at the Commonwealth, Kan Tong, and Nanyang Junior Colleges and the referees’ wages. Playing on every Sunday between 8.00 a.m. to 5.00 p.m., the tournament ran from early November 2004 and concluded in late February 2005. It drew hundreds of football fans and supporters from various construction companies throughout Singapore, who participated with loud support and passionate cheers for their team over an endless backdrop of popular Thai folk music (lukthung), Isan/Lao folk music (molam), and ‘song-for-life’ (pleng phuea chiwit) music.40

40 Fieldnote, November 27, 2004. These three genres of music have established themselves as identity markers of Thai-Isan and overall Thai rural and urban working class people. Their popularity among Thai workers in Singapore is noticeable as they are sung and played during their pastimes in their construction sites or dormitory. Cassettes, VCD, and DVD of these music genres are available at numerous stores at the Golden Mile. The role of music in the making of workers’ transborder identities is worthy of deeper exploration.
In respect of the participating teams, football demonstrates the workers’ social organizational skills and networks as much as their sporting talent on the field. As football is a team sport, it is impossible to excel without proper management and leadership on and off the pitch. Yuthana, 38, a veteran football player and trainer from his home village in Nakhon Phanom, told me that a successful football team needs a skillful manager or leader, who can act as a mediator on both team-sponsoring and team-building tasks. Teams participating in the Thai Labour Cup were either self-financed by raising funds among players with the largest amount of contribution coming from the team leader, or financed by the ‘sponsors,’ which are private stores and companies doing business with Thai workers at the Golden Mile. They included some transnational companies (Siemens and Singha Beer), construction companies (Hiap Seng Engineering, Thai Jurong Engineering, Shimizu Corporation, and Bangkok Forex PTE), a VCD/DVD/Cassette store (Lam Nam Phong), and a remittance service (Phuean Thae GPL). The only exceptional team was the Thai True Way, which was sponsored by a Khon Kaen-based Presbyterian Church. These sponsors were responsible for paying application fees, and arranging team jerseys, food and drink, sports balms and first-aid kits, while the team leader took care of expenses during pre-tournament training and practice. Only a few lucky teams gained full sponsorship worth more than 1,000SGD (600USD; 24,000THB), while the rest received only a partial sponsorship deal. Thus the team leader himself had to use personal funds to finance the team right from the beginning.

Football brought the male workers together and put them on the masculine playground beyond their routine of construction work. Som, 24, a young worker from Sakhon Nakhon, insisted that it takes years to build up a good football team. The finalist teams such as Lam Nam Phong, Shimizu, Nong Han, Nong Prachak, and A&D Siemens, had been formed for quite a while and core members of the team (tua lak) had played together on a regular basis for at least 2-3 years. They were together as teams even before the Labour Cup was organized.

---

41 Interview, November 28, 2004.
42 There are a number of Christian Churches working with Thai migrant workers in Singapore. Besides the Thai True Way, the Thai Chen-Li Church, based in Bangkok, has offered its building to host the non-formal education classes, which have enrolled a number of worker-students for years. With some support from some local churches in Singapore, these churches aim to convert the workers. However, some workers I interviewed told me that only a small group of workers join these churches for a pure religious reason. “As I am a Buddhist, it is hard to force myself to accept God. I do not want to lie to them, so I have to distance myself from them.” Others felt that they do not like the ways the preachers imposed on them something alien to their previous religious faith and belief (Interview, June 12, 2004).
in 2001, playing together on weekends and holidays. They played on the vacant grounds in their construction sites or public sport facilities. Yutthana, the leader of Phuean Thae GPL, revealed that he had to carry out multiple tasks to build up a respected football team, ranging from scouting for talented players, maintaining good relationships with and among team members, keeping up regular training, arranging friendly matches with other teams, and, of course, hunting for considerable financial support. Once a month on his trip to remit his earnings back to his family in Nakhon Phanom at the Golden Mile, he would look around for potential team members among the army of fellow workers. His ideal specifications were men aged between 20 and 25 years old with good muscular bodies, perfect for football, as well as experienced and talented players from their home villages or schools. Sometimes he joined them in a drink and discussion as to see whether they were ‘the right guys’ for his team before extending a formal invitation to them to join his club.

Football has established itself as a male language or masculine form of communication among workers. Yutthana believes that it is easy for men-who-play-football to connect as they speak the same language and share the same passion. The more important part is how to get the team members to practice together on a regular basis. The team leader has to look for a proper practice field, communicate with players via mobile phones, and arrange agreeable times for the practice without affecting their work in various construction sites. Yutthana and his team had practiced for over a year on the vacant ground near Singapore’s National Stadium in Kallang, where they play five-a-side games, known in Thai as ‘ko nu’ (literally, mouse goals). He said playing five-a-side is the best way to mould the team’s fast-paced teamwork strategies and to simulate the zone defense of the whole standard pitch. When they wanted to play on the ‘big’ standard football field (sanam yai), his team members had to each contribute about 5SGD (3USD; 120THB) to pay for the field rental. Alternatively, they could play for free if they managed to win against their opponents in a friendly game, which required the losers to take care of the pitch fee.

The most illustrative ways in which football brings out the working-class masculine culture among Thai construction workers is perhaps the team’s symbols (i.e., name, flag, and uniform) and through the passionate support of their devoted fans. No team entering the 4th

---

Thai Labour Cup illustrates this point better than the Shimizu, which was the most well-prepared and funded team in the tournament. Japan-based Shimizu Corporation is one of the largest international construction corporations in East and Southeast Asia. Its Singapore branch manages to give full sponsorship to its construction workers’ team, led by Somchait, an office worker and veteran football player. Members and supporters of this team travel in a rented coach and two six-wheel trucks and have sufficient supplies of food and drink (i.e., bottled water, energy drinks, glutinous rice, grilled chicken, and some Isan-style cooked food). Alcoholic drinks were mostly provided by the foremen or through workers’ contributions. Shimizu is the only team that played under their company’s proud white flag with blue English letters of their company’s name as well as Thailand’s tri-colored national flag. Worker-supporters and fans brought with them drums, guitars, and other instruments to entertain themselves and give their team the loudest vocal support during the game. They even roamed the pitch and jumped with uncontrollable joy when their team scored and won games. Some workers even offered a reward of 10 SGD to the scorer of each goal. They traveled, cheered, and supported each other well throughout the tournament, to the extent that Shimizu managed to enter the semi-final round. Unfortunately, they were beaten twice and ended up disappointingly as fourth-placed semi-finalists. They had gained neither a trophy, nor money reward from the organizing committee. However, their Singaporean boss and foreman were generous enough to acknowledge their efforts with financial rewards, since the team helps promote their company’s favorable image and plays a role in promoting model workers with strong discipline and capable bodies.46

Football, as a metaphor of contemporary Isan village masculinity, has both physical and intelligence sides. These dual complementary aspects are essential in playing this game of masculine contestation. Every worker player regardless of their amateur football skill and experience is aware of this perception. On its physical side, it requires players’ physical fitness (mi haeng/mi khwam fit) through regularized training and proper fitness methods. Thongsuk, 37, a veteran player for A&D Siemens, the losing finalist team, commented that his players lacked match fitness (on som/heang bo tueng). Because they had worked so hard for their company in the past weeks, they had no time to practice football. Working out on construction sites does not help one to keep or maintain enough fitness to run and fight for the ball over 90 minutes. To make matters worse, on the day of the game, many players drank

46 Fieldnote, November 28, 2004; February 27, 2005.
whisky or beer. Some were even mildly drunk on entering the game. On the intelligence side, a good football player must complement his physical fitness with his wit and understanding of how the game is played. Most worker players felt that they lost ‘face’ and ‘male ego’ when the ball was taken from their possession by an opponent. They felt that it was also demeaning when an opponent dribbled the ball past them or between their legs -- a tricky move known among players as ‘a through ball under one’s ass’ (luk lot dak) -- through their own miscalculated steps. As a masculine contact sport, football players have to play with heart, concentration, and determination to win. Yutthana once stressed to me that “if we do not play to win, why bother to enter the competition. We want to win for the reputation of our team.”

The 4th annual Thai Labour Cup ended with one of the most competitive and exciting finals between the Phuean Tae GPL and A&D Siemens on February 27, 2005. In the presence of high-ranking officials and guests of honor from the Royal Thai Embassy and the Office of Thai Labour Affairs, Yutthana’s team (GPL) won the championship after a wild penalty shootout. The teams finished with a 1-1 draw in the regulation time, but they needed the 10th player from the GPL to slam home the winner after a series of heart-breaking spot kicks and saves. At the end of the day, Yutthana and his captain led the team members, mostly composed of young men from his local and neighboring villages in Nakhon Phanom and Udon Thani, to collect their winning trophy and individual certificates from the officials. Posing before the camera, they were truly proud worker players and winners enjoying one of their most memorable moments long yearned for by Thai-Isan male migrant workers in Singapore.

HUNTING AND GATHERING AWAY FROM HOME

The proposed model of ‘village transnationalism’ among Thai-Isan workers in Singapore is far from completion without taking a close look at trips to go fishing and gathering wild food in parts of Singapore’s abandoned farming areas and forests. This village-based pastime activity, pursued by groups of workers, resembles what they did when making a living in their home villages. On the last day of the Chinese New Year in late February 2005, Prasong, 22, my informant from Sakhon Nakhon, invited me to join what he called a ‘picnic’ trip to some forested areas in Choa Chu Kang. “I will take you out to ‘have a meal in the forest’ (pai

---
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kin khao pa). My roommates are already there. They have been out fishing and gathering wild vegetables since last night,” he informed me in our telephone conversation. I gratefully accepted his invitation and off we went to join the group of workers/fishermen/wild food gathers around noon. We got off the main North/South MRT Line at Choa Chu Kang station, walked through rows of HDB flats, and crossed the highway deep into the forested areas near a military barracks. A number of workers I met at the Kallang Riverside Park also told me their proud stories of how they went fishing, collected bamboo shoots, wild vegetation, roots, honey, and tropical fruits, like durian, rambutan, and jackfruit from the reserved forests or abandoned fruit orchards in areas like Woodlands, Boon Lay and elsewhere near their construction sites away from Singapore’s populated areas.

Khondker (2003:322), speaking of the communities of Bangladeshi migrant workers in Singapore, points out that “he [the migrant worker] is a hunter and gatherer in a global landscape of capitalism.” A large number of Thai-Isan workers have demonstrated that the hunter and gather is not a mere metaphor. I had learned of how Thai-Isan workers in Singapore and elsewhere are keen on hunting and gathering game and wild vegetables from media accounts. The hunting and gathering culture among Thai-Isan workers is modeled upon their village life back home in Isan, where most workers were once forest-dwellers and farmers cultivating their land and utilizing the natural habitat. This forms an important part of the traditional self-sufficient economy and culture, known among rural villagers and cultivators as ‘ha yu ha kin.’ Activities such as fishing or hunting game are among the proudest masculine knowledge and skill acquired by men in Isan villages. When they go abroad as migrant workers i.e., to Israel, the Middle East, and Taiwan, they have frequently been reported in the media as having violated the host countries’ laws and customs with their hunting and gathering activities.

The cultural meaning of hunting and gathering is transported across borders from the northeastern Thailand countryside to Singapore. Migrant workers who are involved in this activity in Singapore largely consider it as a combination of going around for fun in the forest (pai thiaw pa), going picnicking or having a meal in the forest (pai kin khao pa), and hunting

---

48 The concept of kin khao pa is a traditional Thai-Isan village’s way of going trekking, hunting for game, wild food gathering, and picnicking in the forest besides having meals at the huts in the fields. It is part of a general idea of going hunting and gathering food away from home villages and beyond as Khamphun Bunthawi (1976) demonstrated in his classic novel, Luk Isan (the Child of the Northeast).

or gathering wild food in their free time. As most of them work 7 days per week and only have Sunday afternoon off, they cannot solely rely on wild food for their subsistence. Chatri, 27, who hails from Nakhon Phanom and has been a construction workers for 6 years, listed trips to gather wild food in the forest as one of his leisure activities. Wrote Chatri, “besides sports like football and sepak takraw, my friends and I go fishing and gathering fruits in the forests as our pastime.”

As a pastime activity for workers, ‘going around to having fun in the forest’ has provided an escape as well as nostalgic sanctuary from their restricted and menially demanding everyday life. On trips to the forest, as I observed, the workers feel totally at home away from the regulations of the workplace and the disciplinary gaze of Singapore society. The forest, in contrast to their construction site and over-crowded dormitory, is their playground as well as a temporary venue of self-expression. The forest releases them from the constrictions and tensions of their everyday life. They can go swimming or take a bath in the abandoned fish ponds or open canals, while collecting fish from the nets. They share jokes and other stories from their different worksites. They hum or sing their favorite songs. They can cook a quick lunch under the tree shelters with utensils and ingredients they have brought, and take a precious day-time nap after the meal. Sometimes on long holidays they even invite their Thai ‘girlfriends’ (fan or phu sao), who travel to Singapore for a short social visit to join them on their trips to the forest.

Food gathering plays a small role as a survival strategy for migrant workers, who have to sustain their life on the margins of Singapore’s economy. They cannot entirely rely on their wild food; nonetheless, it is the food item they really enjoy. When they are successful in their fishing or wild food gathering efforts, they often bring the food back to the construction sites or dormitories to save on the cost of food. Sompong, 23, wrote in his diary that “as we are unskilled construction workers, earning only 23SGD (14USD; 552THB) per day and having to pay 200-300SGD (121-182USD; 4,800-7,200THB) per month for food, our trips to the forest gives us not only fun, but also our favorite wild food, like bamboo shoots, edible leaves, fruits, and fishes. We can save our hard-earned salary, too.”

---
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CONCLUDING REMARKS

My stories about the Golden Mile Complex, the *pha pa* Buddhist merit-making endeavors, and the 4th Thai Labour Football Cup, as well as the narratives on the Thai-Isan workers’ trips to gather wild food in the forest, provide detailed examples of how transnationalism has been experienced by male contract migrant workers from Northeast Thailand. Modeling and conceptualizing these men’s lived experiences away from home as ‘village transnationalism,’ I argue that they offer themselves as examples by which we can rethink and contribute to what Levitt and Nyberg-Sorensen (2004) call “the transnational turn in migration studies.” They provide evidence for the claims of Yeoh (2003:3) and Smith (2001) that “…transnational identities, while fluid and flexible, are at the same time grounded in particular places at particular times.” Although they are “particularly vulnerable to exploitation” (Allen 2003:1), they are salient actors as they “bring a set of social and cultural tools that aid their adjustments to their new lives… They make sense of their experiences using the interpretive frames they bring with them…” (Levitt 1998:930)

The practice of ‘transnationalism from below’ as indicated in the case studies of male contract workers from Isan in Singapore shows that village transnationalism is one of the major forms of transnational or transborder migration and human mobility in the Southeast Asian context (see Ananta and Arifin 2004). As marked by the prefix ‘village,’ this form of border-crossing identity is shared by displaced male and female migrants with limited education from agricultural backgrounds, who take an opportunity to invest, and thus risk, their future by crossing international borders to seek better income and opportunities. The term could be extended to include similar transnational workers and border-crossers, such as domestic workers, factory workers, farm laborers, fishing industry workers, prostitutes, illegal unskilled workers, transborder tradeswomen on a short-term social visit pass, etc. The work and life of these men and women away from their countries of origin (i.e., Cambodia, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar, the Philippines, Thailand or Vietnam) in the countries of destination (i.e., Brunei, Malaysia, Singapore, or Thailand) can be understood within the scope of the village transnationalism model.

Thai-Isan workers as key actors in the village transnationalism model are compelled to act as what Levitt (2001) terms ‘transnational villagers.’ As a model of transborder identities, village transnationalism deals primarily with how ordinary people carry out their social,
political, and economic lives across borders. They are ‘transnational subjects,’ who “…are historically situated and culturally beings, as bearers of gender, ethnicity, class, race, nationality, and at the same time agents constantly negotiating these self-identities vis-à-vis others in transnational spaces” (Yeoh 2003:2-3). However, due to different political and cultural contexts in Southeast Asia, transnational villagers in Asia are almost completely shut out of the political sphere in the host countries. They are subject to government control and effectively manipulated by legal and political means. In other words, citizenship, membership, or civic engagement on whatever basis with the intention to integrate contract migrant workers into the society and economy of the host countries has never happened in Southeast Asia. It confirms Smith’s (2001:3) thesis that “transnationalist discourse insists on the continuing significance of borders, state policies, and national identities even as these are often transgressed by transnational communication circuits and social practices.”

‘Village transnationalism’ is specifically embedded in the cultural practices of gender. It means different things to different people. It mirrors the gender identities and cultures of the migrant population in question. In the case of Thai-Isan workers in Singapore, the practices of village transnationalism are predominantly male-oriented. It is thus about men of working age from Isan who travel to Singapore and how they live, work, and survive by relying on what they have had ‘in them’ and ‘with them’ after being displaced from home over a sustained period of time. In this respect, the village transnationalism of Thai-Isan male workers in Singapore (and elsewhere i.e., Brunei, Israel, and Taiwan) is the culture of village men at work and at play in a foreign setting. Going out, having fun with girls, drinking, gambling, playing football, organizing pha pa merit-making projects, or going fishing and gathering wild food in the forest well demonstrate this feature.

In addition, ‘village transnationalism’ involves transnational and transborder acts of transgression predicated on the actors/villagers’ limited cultural and symbolic capital, and their inability to employ that which they do have, in the host country contexts. Cultural and symbolic capital of migrant workers function as ‘interpretive frames’ by which to make sense of their back-breaking realities and by which to interact to varying degrees with the host society. They further provide transborder identity markers based on why and “…how things were done at home” (Levitt 1998:930). Thai-Isan workers have demonstrated that they may have limited skills to become successful workers in the modern/postmodern society like Singapore. They have low education, limited capital, and little knowledge concerning modern
technologies and the business world. They have their labor as their sole commodity in the unskilled international labor market. They also have knowledge and skill as rural villagers or cultivators as additional cultural and symbolic capital. Social networks and activities described in the previous sections demonstrate how they have learned to survive in Singapore with that knowledge and those skills.

Finally, village transnationalism implies a complex self-reflexive sense of ‘displaced otherness.’ Transnational villagers, as shown in the case of Thai-Isan male construction workers, have by and large become ‘outcaste others’ and have been economically and socially confined to their worksites, dormitories for foreign workers, and some noted ‘ethnocultural enclaves’ in Singapore (i.e., the Golden Mile Complex for Thai workers; Lucky Plaza on Orchard Road for domestic workers from the Philippines; Little India for workers from South Asia). They have never been culturally or socially integrated or properly incorporated as members of the host society. They have always been given the tag of ‘guest’ workers. Being constricted, confined, and segregated by Singaporean authorities and their employers are common features in the social life of Thai-Isan migrant workers. Kai, 32, a veteran factory worker from Khon Kaen, once commented to me that Thai male workers’ lives in Singapore are centered only on a few items: “carrying out their daily job, then visiting the Golden Mile, getting drunk, womanizing, and gambling when they are free.”

The social life of Thai-Isan workers as true socially excluded workmen in Singapore is further demonstrated in this life story. Wisit, 45, a veteran worker from Udon Thani, had endured hardship working as a plumber fixing school and housing toilets for more than two years. Said Wisit, “I carried out the dirtiest job in the world. Sometimes, shit, urine, and other human waste spilled all over my body from head to toe. I even swallowed it a number of times. My Singaporean boss just gave me an order and left. I had to carry out the job on my own. Sometimes, I had lunches without washing or cleaning at all.” This workman of Singapore’s capitalist transnationalization has moved away from his ‘dirtiest job in the world’ to become an electrician with a new company. He has endured and survived the harsh realities away from home for almost a decade now.

52 Interview, September 12, 2004.
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